CETIFICATION

SDG No:

JC19423

Humacao, PR

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Accutest, Florida

Site:

BMS, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Soil/Groundwater

SUMMARY:

Soil and groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken April 28, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for the ABN TCL Special List and for TCL pesticides list that reported the data under SDG No.: JC19423. Accutest Laboratory of Orlando, Florida analyzed for low molecular weight alcohols (LMWA) that also reported the data under SDG No.: JC19423. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
JC19423-1	MW-20S (7-8)	Soil	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL list; LMWA
JC19423-2	RA18-GWD	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL list
JC19423-2A	RA18-GWD	Groundwater	LMWA
JC19423-2AD	RA18-GWD MSD	Groundwater	LMWA
JC19423-2AS	RA18-GWD MS	Groundwater	LMWA
JC19423-2D	RA18-GWD MSD	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL list
JC19423-2S	RA18-GWD MS	Groundwater	ABN TCL special list; pesticides TCL list

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 19, 2016

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: Lab Sample ID:

MW-20S (7-8) JC19423-1

Matrix: Method: SO - Soil

SW846 8270D SW846 3546

Date Sampled: Date Received:

04/28/16 05/02/16

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Percent Solids: 74.3

Run #1

File ID 3E83105.D DF 1

Ву AN

Analyzed

05/11/16

Prep Date 05/04/16

Prep Batch OP93597

Q

Analytical Batch E3E3643

Run #2

Initial Weight 30.0 g

Final Volume 1.0 ml

Run #1 Run #2

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	90	31	սց/kg
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	220	40	ug/kg
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	220	44	ug/kg
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	220	110	ug/kg
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	220	180	ug/kg
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	220	66	ug/kg
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	90	51	ug/kg
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	90	51	ug/kg
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	220	39	ug/kg
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	450	120	ug/kg
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	220	38	ug/kg
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	90	35	ug/kg
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	220	58	ug/kg
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	220	64	ug/kg
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	220	49	ug/kg
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	45	8.5	ug/kg
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	45	6.2	ug/kg
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	220	23	ug/kg
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	45	19	ug/kg
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	90	14	ug/kg
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	45	6.9	ug/kg
50-32-8	Benzo(a) pyrene	ND	45	11	ug/kg
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	45	9.4	ug/kg
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	45	12	ug/kg
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	45	13	ug/kg
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	90	21	ug/kg
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	90	26	ug/kg
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	90	13	ug/kg
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	220	11	ug/kg
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	90	8.5	ug/kg
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	220	13	ug/kg
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	90	8.7	ug/kg

Rafael Infinte Méndez LIC # 1888

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: MW-20S (7-8) Lab Sample ID: JC19423-1 Matrix:

SO - Soil

SW846 8270D SW846 3546

Date Sampled: 04/28/16 Date Received: 05/02/16 Percent Solids: 74.3

Q

Method: Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

ABN TCL Special List

	- 125				
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	90	33	ug/kg
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	45	11	ug/kg
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	90	9.5	ug/kg
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	90	26	ug/kg
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	90	18	ug/kg
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	90	12	ug/kg
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	45	16	ug/kg
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	45	14	ug/kg
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	90	61	ug/kg
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	45	17	ug/kg
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	90	8.1	ug/kg
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	90	28	ug/kg
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	90	29	ug/kg
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	90	10	ug/kg
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	90	9.2	ug/kg
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	90	9.8	ug/kg
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	45	21	ug/kg
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	45	18	ug/kg
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	90	11	ug/kg
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	45	18	ug/kg
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	450	27	ug/kg
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	220	34	ug/kg
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	45	15	ug/kg
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	90	10	ug/kg
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	90	8.0	ug/kg
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	90	36	ug/kg
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	220	33	ug/kg
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	220	16	ug/kg
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	220	18	ug/kg
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	90	20	ug/kg
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	90	21	ug/kg
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	220	26	ug/kg
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	45	11	ug/kg
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	45	7.9	ug/kg
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	220	10	ug/kg
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	66%		30-11	06%
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	65%		30-1	06%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: MW-20S (7-8) Lab Sample ID: JC19423-1 Matrix:

SO - Soil

SW846 8270D SW846 3546 BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR Date Sampled: 04/28/16 Date Received: 05/02/16

Percent Solids: 74.3

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	72%		24-140%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	79%		26-122%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	78%		36-112%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	93%		36-132%



E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

By

LK

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: MW-20S (7-8) Lab Sample ID: JC19423-1 Matrix:

File ID

3M61124.D

SO - Soil

Date Sampled: Date Received:

04/28/16 05/02/16

Method:

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3546

Analyzed

05/06/16

Percent Solids: 74.3

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

DF

1

Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** 05/04/16 OP93597A E3M2874

Run #1 Run #2

Initial Weight Final Volume Run #1 30.0 g 1.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No. Compound Result RL MDL Units Q 123-91-1 1,4-Dioxane ND 4.5 0.90 ug/kg 91-20-3 Naphthalene ND 4.5 0.55 ug/kg CAS No. Surrogate Recoveries Run#1 Run#2 Limits 4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 81% 15-138% 321-60-8 2-Fluorobiphenyl 85% 12-148% 1718-51-0 Terphenyl-d14 96% 10-157%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	ole ID: JC19423 SO - Soi SW846 (9-1 1 8015C MO	D 5 Area, PR			Date	Received: 0	4/28/16 5/02/16 4.3
Run #1 ª Run #2	File ID XY064122.D	DF 1	Analyzed 05/06/16	By AFL	Pr ep D n/a	ato	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch F:GXY2775
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Weight 4.78 g	Final Vol 10.0 ml	une					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	(a)
64-17-5 78-83-1 67-63-0 71-23-8 71-36-3 67-56-1	Ethanol Isobutyl Alcohol Isopropyl Alcohol n-Propyl Alcohol n-Butyl Alcohol Methanol	ol ol	ND ND ND ND ND	14 14 14 14 14	2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg		
CAS No.	Surrogate Reco	veries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its		

(a) Sample was received in a bulk container but was not preserved within 48 hours of sampling. Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.

69-121%

112%



ND = Not detected

111-27-3

Hexanol

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

By

BP

Prep Date

05/06/16

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: MW-20S (7-8) Lab Sample ID:

JC19423-1

SO - Soil SW846 8081B SW846 3546 Date Sampled: 04/28/16 Date Received:

Q

05/02/16 Percent Solids: 74.3

Method: Project:

Matrix:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

1

File ID DF Analyzed

Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** OP93683 G4G1782

Run #1 Run #2

> Initial Weight 16.6 g

4G68004.D

Final Volume

10.0 ml

05/06/16

Run #1 Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.81	0.72	ug/kg
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.81	0.54	ug/kg
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.81	0.50	ug/kg
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.81	0.32	ug/kg
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.81	0.37	ug/kg
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.81	0.43	ug/kg
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.81	0.62	ug/kg
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.81	0.63	ug/kg
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.81	0.30	ug/kg
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.81	0.27	ug/kg
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.81	0.31	ug/kg
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.81	0.29	ug/kg
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.81	0.46	ug/kg
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.81	0.60	ug/kg
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.81	0.27	ug/kg
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.81	0.77	ug/kg
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.81	0.67	ug/kg
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.81	0.33	ug/kg
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	1.6	0.45	ug/kg
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.81	0.43	ug/kg
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	20	14	ug/kg
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	109%		24-1	36%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	93%		24-1	
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	107%		10-1	53%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	96%		10-1	53%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 3

Client Sample ID: RA18-GWD

Lab Sample ID: JC19423-2

Matrix:

Method:

Project:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/28/16

Q

Date Received: 05/02/16

Percent Solids: n/a

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Run #1	File ID 5P27906.D	DF 1	Analyzed 05/03/16	By AD	Prep Date 05/02/16	Prep Batch OP93534	Analytical Batch E5P1416
Run #2							

Initial Volume Final Volume 920 ml

Run #1 Run #2 1.0 ml

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
95-57-8	2-Chlorophenol	ND	5.4	0.89	ug/l
59-50-7	4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	ND	5.4	0.97	ug/l
120-83-2	2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	2.2	1.4	ug/l
105-67-9	2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	5.4	2.7	ug/l
51-28-5	2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	11	1.7	ug/l
534-52-1	4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ND	5.4	1.4	ug/l
95-48-7	2-Methylphenol	ND	2.2	0.97	ug/l
	3&4-Methylphenol	ND	2.2	0.96	ug/l
88-75-5	2-Nitrophenol	ND	5.4	1.0	ug/l
100-02-7	4-Nitrophenol	ND	11	1.3	ug/l
87-86-5	Pentachlorophenol	ND	5.4	1.5	ug/l
108-95-2	Phenol	ND	2.2	0.43	ug/l
58-90-2	2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	ND	5.4	1.6	ug/l
95-95-4	2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.4	1.4	ug/l
88-06-2	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ND	5.4	1.0	ug/l
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	1.1	0.21	ug/l
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	1.1	0.15	ug/l
98-86-2	Acetophenone	ND	2.2	0.23	ug/l
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l
1912-24-9	Atrazine	ND	2.2	0.49	ug/i
100-52-7	Benzaldehyde	ND	5.4	0.31	ug/l
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	1.1	0.37	ug/l
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.22	ug/l
101-55-3	4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.2	0.44	ug/l
85-68-7	Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.50	ug/l
92-52-4	1,1'-Biphenyl	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l
91-58-7	2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	2.2	0.26	ug/l
106-47-8	4-Chloroaniline	ND	5.4	0.37	ug/l
86-74-8	Carbazole	ND	1.1	0.25	ug/I



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound



ACCUTEST

Method:

Project:

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA18-GWD Lab Sample ID: JC19423-2 Matrix:

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/28/16 Date Received: 05/02/16

Percent Solids: n/a

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
105-60-2	Caprolactam	ND	2.2	0.71	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l	
111-91-1	bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	ND	2.2	0.30	ug/l	
111-44-4	bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	ND	2.2	0.27	ug/l	
108-60-1	bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	2.2	0.44	ug/l	
7005-72-3	4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	2.2	0.40	ug/l	
121-14-2	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.60	ug/l	
606-20-2	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	1.1	0.52	ug/l	
91-94-1	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	2.2	0.55	ug/l	
123-91-1	1,4-Dioxane	10.8	1.1	0.71	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l	
132-64-9	Dibenzofuran	ND	5.4	0.24	ug/l	
84-74-2	Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.54	ug/l	
117-84-0	Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.25	ug/l	
84-66-2	Diethyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.28	ug/l	
131-11-3	Dimethyl phthalate	ND	2.2	0.24	ug/l	
117-81-7	bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	2.2	1.8	ug/I	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	1.1	0.18	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l	
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	ND	1.1	0.35	ug/l	
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.1	0.53	ug/l	
77-47-4	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	11	3.0	ug/l	
67-72-1	Hexachloroethane	ND	2.2	0.42	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	1.1	0.36	ug/l	
78-59-1	Isophorone	ND	2.2	0.30	ug/l	
90-12-0	1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.29	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	1.1	0.23	ug/l	
88-74-4	2-Nitroaniline	ND	5.4	0.30	ug/l	
99-09-2	3-Nitroaniline	ND	5.4	0.42	ug/l	100
100-01-6	4-Nitroaniline	ND	5.4	0.48	ug/l	SOCIADO
98-95-3	Nitrobenzene	ND	2.2	0.70	ug/l	3
621-64-7	N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	ND	2.2	0.52	ug/l	Pataet Infante
86-30-6	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	5.4	0.24	ug/l	Tact History
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	1.1	0.19	ug/l	Partael Infinite Mendez
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	1.1	0.24	ug/l	1 11/10 17 (4)
95-94-3	1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	ND	2.2	0.40	ug/l	CO LICENCIADO
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	COLICERON
367-12-4	2-Fluorophenol	55%		14-88	3%	



MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank



E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

Report of Analysis

Page 3 of 3

Client Sample ID: RA18-GWD Lab Sample ID:

JC19423-2

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: 04/28/16 Date Received: 05/02/16

Method: Project:

Matrix:

SW846 8270D SW846 3510C BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Percent Solids: n/a

ABN TCL Special List

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
4165-62-2	Phenol-d5	37%	8	10-110%
118-79-6	2,4,6-Tribromophenol	109%		39-149%
4165-60-0	Nitrobenzene-d5	101%		32-128%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	100%		35-119%
1718-51-0	Terphenyl-d14	99%		10-126%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Analytical Batch

E3M2868

SGS Accutest

Matrix:

Method:

CAS No.

Report of Analysis

Client Sample ID: RA18-GWD Lab Sample ID:

JC19423-2

AQ - Ground Water

SW846 8270D BY SIM SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 04/28/16 Date Received: 05/02/16

Percent Solids: n/a

Prep Batch

OP93534A

Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

File ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date Run #1 3M61012.D 1 05/02/16 LK 05/02/16

Run #2 **Initial Volume** Final Volume

Surrogate Recoveries

Run #1 920 ml Run #2

1.0 ml

CAS No. Compound

Result

RL

MDL

Units 0

91-20-3 Naphthalene

ND 0.11 Run#1

0.032ug/l Run# 2 Limits

4165-60-0 Nitrobenzene-d5 321-60-8 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1718-51-0 Terphenyl-d14

84% 91% 101%

24-125% 19-127% 10-119%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Analytical Batch

G1G3977

Report of Analysis

ı	CHE	uι	28	шĮ)TC	Щ
I	Lab	S	ım	ple	П) :

RA18-GWD

JC19423-2

Matrix: Method: AQ - Ground Water

Project:

SW846 8081B SW846 3510C

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Date Sampled: 04/28/16

Q

Date Received: 05/02/16

Percent Solids: n/a

File ID DF Ву Analyzed Prep Date Prep Batch Run #1 1G122666.D 1 05/02/16 BP 05/02/16 OP93549

Run #2

Initial Volume

Final Volume

Run #1 300 ml 2.0 ml

Run #2

Pesticide TCL List

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0040	ug/l
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0038	ug/l
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND	0.0067	0.0030	ug/l
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	0.0067	0.0019	ug/l
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND	0.0067	0.0031	ug/l
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND	0.0067	0.0024	ug/l
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND	0.0067	0.0041	ug/l
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l
72-20-8	Endrin	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND	0.0067	0.0035	ug/l
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
53494-70-5	Endrin ketone	ND	0.0067	0.0034	ug/l
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND	0.0067	0.0033	ug/l
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND	0.0067	0.0029	ug/l
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND	0.0067	0.0025	ug/l
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND	0.0067	0.0044	ug/l
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND	0.013	0.0038	ug/l
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND	0.17	0.12	ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limi	ts
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	115%		26-13	2%
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	102%		26-13	2%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	98%		10-11	8%
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	124% a		10-11	8%

(a) High percent recoveries and no positive found in the sample.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Lab Sample ID:

Client Sample ID: RA18-GWD JC19423-2A

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled:

04/28/16

Matrix: Method:

SW846 8015C

Date Received: 05/02/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

	File ID	DE	Ald	Dec	D D-4	2 2 1	
Run #1 a Run #2	XY064113.D	1	Analyzed 05/05/16	By AFL	Prep Date n/a	Prep Batch n/a	Analytical Batch F:GXY2774

Run	#2
-----	----

Kull #2						
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
64-17-5	Ethanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
78-83-1	Isobutyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
67-63-0	Isopropyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
71-23-8	n-Propyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
71-36-3	n-Butyl Alcohol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
67-56-1	Methanol	ND	5.0	1.0	mg/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
111-27-3	Hexanol	106%		73-1	23%	

⁽a) Analysis performed at Accutest Laboratories, Orlando FL.



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Job Number: JC19423

AMANYWP Anderson, Mulholland & Associates

Account: Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sample OP93534A-MS2 OP93534A-MSD2 JC19423-2	File ID 3M61013.D 3M61014.D 3M61012.D	DF 1 1	Analyzed 05/02/16 05/03/16 05/02/16	By LK LK LK	Prep Date 05/02/16 05/02/16 05/02/16	Prep Batch OP93534A OP93534A OP93534A	Analytical Batch E3M2868 E3M2868 E3M2868
--	--	--------------	--	----------------------	---	--	---

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: SW846 8270D BY SIM

JC19423-2

CAS No.	Compound	JC19423-2 ug/l Q	Spike ug/I	MS ug/l	M8 %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	1.12	0.756	67	1.12	0.972	87	25	23-140/36
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	JC1	9423-2	Limits				
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl Terphenyl-d14	71% 78% 90%	93% 90% 92%	84% 91% 1019		24-1259 19-1279	6			





Page 1 of 1



^{* =} Outside of Control Limits.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Job Number: JC19423

Account:

AMANYWP Anderson, Mulholland & Associates

Project:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sample OP93549-MS OP93549-MSD JC19423-2	File ID 1G122664.D 1G122665.D 1G122666.D	DF 1 1	Analyzed 05/02/16 05/02/16 05/02/16	By BP BP BP	Prep Date 05/02/16 05/02/16 05/02/16	Prep Batch OP93549 OP93549 OP93549	Analytical Batch G1G3977 G1G3977 G1G3977
--	---	--------------	--	----------------------	---	---	---

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: SW846 8081B

JC19423-2

		JC19423-	2	Spike	MS	MS	Spike	MSD	MSD		Limits
CAS No.	Compound	ug/I	Q	ug/l	ug/l	%	ug/l	ug/l	%	RPD	Rec/RPD
309-00-2	Aldrin	ND		0.167	0.20	120	0.167	0.21	126	5	37-159/40
319-84-6	alpha-BHC	ND		0.167	0.21	126	0.167	0.23	138	9	37-164/37
319-85-7	beta-BHC	ND		0.167	0.20	120	0.167	0.22	132	10	46-151/36
319-86-8	delta-BHC	ND		0.167	0.20	120	0.167	0.22	132	10	32-168/36
58-89-9	gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND		0.167	0.21	126	0.167	0.23	138	9	44-160/37
5103-71-9	alpha-Chlordane	ND		0.167	0.19	114	0.167	0.20	120	5	38-160/35
5103-74-2	gamma-Chlordane	ND		0.167	0.19	114	0.167	0.21	126	10	39-157/37
60-57-1	Dieldrin	ND		0.167	0.21	126	0.167	0.22	132	5	42-161/36
72-54-8	4,4'-DDD	ND		0.167	0.20	120	0.167	0.24	144	18	40-161/36
72-55-9	4,4'-DDE	ND		0.167	0.20	120	0.167	0.23	138	14	34-158/36
50-29-3	4,4'-DDT	ND		0.167	0.22	132	0.167	0.25	150	13	41-173/33
72-20-8	Endrin	ND		0.167	0.22	132	0.167	0.24	144	9	44-166/35
1031-07-8	Endosulfan sulfate	ND		0.167	0.19	114	0.167	0.21	126	10	46-161/36
7421-93-4	Endrin aldehyde	ND		0.167	0.20	120	0.167	0.23	138	14	34-149/36
53494-70-5		ND		0.167	0.22	132	0.167	0.24	144	9	44-157/36
959-98-8	Endosulfan-I	ND		0.167	0.19	114	0.167	0.20	120	5	43-154/35
33213-65-9	Endosulfan-II	ND		0.167	0.19	114	0.167	0.22	132	15	40-162/35
76-44-8	Heptachlor	ND		0.167	0.21	126	0.167	0.22	132	5	33-153/37
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	ND		0.167	0.20	120	0.167	0.22	132	10	45-154/37
72-43-5	Methoxychlor	ND		0.167	0.20	120	0.167	0.22	132	10	48-169/32
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	ND			ND			ND		nc	50-150/30
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS		MSD	JC1	9423-2	Limits				
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	108%		91%	115	%	26-132%	, ,			
877-09-8	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	98%		81%	102	%	26-132%	5		BOCIADO	A-
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	90%		85%	98%		10-118%	Ś	190		
2051-24-3	Decachlorobiphenyl	118%		107%		%* в	10-118%		1:3		121
									1200 1 150	Almost Books	1702.1

(a) High percent recoveries and no positive found in the sample.



^{* =} Outside of Control Limits.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Job Number: JC19423

Account:

ALNJ Accutest New Jersey

Project:

AMANYWP: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sample JC19423-2AMS JC19423-2AMSD JC19423-2A	File ID XY064116.D XY064117.D XY064113.D	DF I I	Analyzed 05/05/16 05/05/16 05/05/16	By SH SH SH	Prep Date n/a n/a n/a	Prep Batch n/a n/a n/a	Analytical Batch GXY2774 GXY2774 GXY2774
---	---	--------------	--	----------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------	---

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: SW846 8015C

JC19423-2A

CAS No.	Compound	JC19423-2. mg/l Q		MS mg/l	MS %	Spike mg/l	MSD mg/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
64-17-5 78-83-1 67-63-0 71-23-8 71-36-3 67-56-1	Ethanol Isobutyl Alcohol Isopropyl Alcohol n-Propyl Alcohol n-Butyl Alcohol Methanol	ND ND ND ND ND ND	100 100 100 100 100 100	108 104 109 109 110	108 104 109 109 110	100 100 100 100 100	118 108 108 113 114 111	118 108 108 113 114 111	9 4 1 4 4	73-120/16 67-116/17 69-118/17 71-119/17 69-119/17 70-118/17
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	JC.	19423-2	A Limits				
111-27-3	Hexanol	107%	110%	100	6%	73-1239	%			



^{* -} Outside of Control Limits.

SO-Finy	•	CHAIN	OF (TRUE	LOD.	v								P	.ce	1.	of <u>/</u>
	TEST-NJ	SC 2235 Ross TEL_7,12-329-0	S Accident - D de 130. Deyto	inyson s, NJ 668 J2-129-34	LID	_	•		151		FOI	۵ -	90.	na Circus Ci			9423
Anderson Mulholland Associac 2700 Westchester Purchase, NY Terry Taylor 914-251-0400	Project News BMS Re- BMS Re- Brevet CHUMACAO Project B Chief Protriage Critics 6	PR	Asse	110	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.		Дф.	Method 8270D	0	Method	Thapthelene by 1						Ow - Driving OW - Crural YW - Wat SN - Sarker SL- Sarker SL- Sarker SL- Color LC - Obset L ART - Art SOL - Other WP - WP
	Project Manager MEDINGS No. 9 Day 4/28/16	1237 1	VR SO	2	9 8	70 Por 2	PACONS	X SVAC-	₹	0	A Mahad R	-					CB-Equipment RB-Rate B TB-Tap Bla LAB USE O
RAIB-GWD (MSD)	4/28/16 4/28/16	1300 1	rt Gu	5	3	4		×	×	XX	X X						FS
									5		AL AS		MENT_	7/5	A		
Transport Trans (Business days) Stat to Business Days For Sail SHMP S Day 1828H 3 Day 1828H 1 Day 1828H		N. Links		sal "A" (Le ini "B" (Le) (Lenni Seni ani ini "L" al Kramo	evel 2) I) Custiy P	00000	INTASP Ca STASP Ca State Form CODer Code Coder Code Coder Code Code Coder Code Code Code Code Code Code Code Code			Add Wir	1+0 h S	Rej Vo					thalene
See See & Shade 12 data analistic VA Label a See See See See & See See See See See S	Sample Custody on 15 to	Ex	U Neglected to Ne field below usig	e.As - QC Is firms &a	Summery market (S	DEC S	er data registers, fr	oluding	CONTRACT	define	Ne irree y. Sur Tirre Sur Tirre	90	1(2) Dept.	ad upported fly:	n receip	<u></u>	aboratory

.

JC19423: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 3

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC19423

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8270D

Number of Samples:

2

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Two (2) samples were analyzed for the ABN TCL list following method SW846-8270D; Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane were also analyzed by SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique. One (1) MS/MSD was analyzed for Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 —Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

- 1. Sample preservation outside the recommended criteria, no action taken professional judgment.
- 2. Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria. Analytes not meeting the method % difference criteria meet the guidance document performance criteria for continuing calibration verification of \pm 25 or 40 %, no action taken. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.
- 2. Analytes not meeting the continuing calibration verification criteria of the guidance
- document were qualified UJ in samples JC19423-1 and JC19423-2.
- 3. Result for 1,4-Dioxane rejected (R) in sample JC19423-1, MS/MSD outside the lower control limit.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 19, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC19423-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/28/2016

Matrix: Soil

METHOD	: 82/00					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	220	ug/kg		-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	220	ug/kg	1	-	υ	Yes
2-Methylphenol	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	450	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	90	ug/kg	1	-	บ	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5.4-Trichlorophenol	220	ug/kg	1	-	IJ	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	45	ug/kg	1	-	ប	Yes
Acenaphthylene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	90	ug/kg	1	-	บ	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	45	ug/kg	1	- '	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable					
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Dibenzofuran	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Di-n-butyl phthalate	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Di-n-octyl phthalate	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Diethyl phthalate	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Dimethyl phthalate	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	90	ug/kg	1	-	υ	Yes					
Fluoranthene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Fluorene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Hexachlorobenzene	90	ug/kg	1	~	U	Yes					
Hexachlorobutadiene	45	ug/kg	1	-	UJ	Yes					
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	450	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Hexachloroethane	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Isophorone	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
1-Methylnaphthalene	90	ug/kg	1	- 5	U	Yes					
2-Methylnaphthalene	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
2-Nitroaniline	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
3-Nitroaniline	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
4-Nitroaniline	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Nitrobenzene	90	ug/kg	5 1	-	U	Yes					
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	90	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Nitrosodiphenylamine	220	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Phenanthrene	45	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
Pyrene	45	ug/kg	1	,-	U	Yes					
1,2,4,5.4-Tetrachlorobenzene	220	ug/kg	1	-	UJ	Yes					
METHOD: 9070D (SIM)											
Naphthalene	4.5	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes					
1,4-Dioxane	4.5	ug/kg	1	•	R	Yes					
-		٠. ٥	-		-						

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC19423-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/28/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
2-Chlorophenol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloro-3-methyl phenol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dichlorophenol	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dimethylphenol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylphenol	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3&4-Methylphenol	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitrophenol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitrophenol	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pentachlorophenol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenol	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,5.4-Trichlorophenol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acetophenone	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzaldehyde	5.4	ug/l	1	,-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	<u>-</u>	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Butyl benzyl phthalate	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,1'-Biphenyl	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Chloronaphthalene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chloroaniline	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Carbazole	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Caprolactam	2.2	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
Chrysene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

WILTIOS.	02/00					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	10.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	บ	Yes
Dibenzofuran	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Di-n-butyl phthalate	2.2	ug/l	1	-	Ų	Yes
Di-n-octyl phthalate	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Diethyl phthalate	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dimethyl phthalate	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobenzene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorobutadiene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	11	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Hexachloroethane	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Isophorone	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1-Methylnaphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthaiene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Nitroaniline	5.4	ug/l	1	-	IJ	Yes
3-Nitroaniline	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
4-Nitroaniline	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Nitrobenzene	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2.2	ug/l	1	-	UJ	Yes
Nitrosodiphenylamine	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	1.1	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,2,4,5.4-Tetrachlorobenzene	2.2	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

	METHOD: 8270D (SI	M)				
Naphthalene	0.11	ug/l	1	17.3	U	Yes

Analyte Name

Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Sample ID: JC19423-2MS

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/28/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene

0.756

ug/l

1

Yes

Sample ID: JC19423-2MSD

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/28/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Naphthalene

0.972

ug/l

1

Yes

	Project Number:_JC19423
	Date:April_28,_2016
	Shipping Date:_April_29,_2016
	EPA Region:2_
REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE OR	GANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile required validation actions. This document will assigned judgment to make more informed decision and in users. The sample results were assessed according documents in the following order of precedent Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. Seminary and data validation actions listed on the data reviguidance document, unless otherwise noted.	sist the reviewer in using professional better serving the needs of the data of the USEPA data validation guidance ce: EPA Hazardous Waste Support platile Data Validation. The QC criteria
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest reviewed and the quality control and performance data included:	data package received has been summarized. The data review for SVOCs
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC19423 No. of Samples:2_Full_scan/2_SIM	
Trip blank No.:	
Equipment blank No.:	
Field duplicate No.:	
X Data Completeness	X Laboratory Control Spikes
X Holding Times	X Field Duplicates
X GC/MS Tuning	X Calibrations
X Internal Standard Performance	X Compound Identifications
X Blanks	X Compound Quantitation
X Surrogate Recoveries	X Quantitation Limits
X Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	
Overall Comments:_ABN_TCL_list_by_method_SW846- _analyzed_by_method_SW846-8270D_(SIM)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results	
U- Compound not detected	
R- Rejected data	
UJ- Estimated nondetect	
Reviewer:_ Rafuel Defaut	
Date:May_19,_2016	

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
-		
- 2		
	8.7	
3		
*		
1		
*·		
		<u></u>

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED	рН	ACTION		
All samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time. Sample preservation outside the recommended criteria, no action taken professional judgment.						
			<u> </u>			

Cooler t	emperature ((Criteria: 4	4 <u>+</u> 2 ºC):ˌ	9.8°C	

Actions

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table 1. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

			Ac	tion
Matrix	Matrix Preserved Criteria		Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
a a	No	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis) Use profes		onal judgment
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	Use professional judgment
Aqueous	Yes	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qua	lification
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	້ ນາ
Yes/No		Grossly Exceeded	J UJ or R	
	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use professional judgment	
Non-Aqueous	No	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	,J	Use professional judgment
Non-Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification	
	Yes	> 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	UJ
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R

All criteria were metX Criteria were not met see below
instrumentation is within the
to be within the specified

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits

- _X__ The DFTPP performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
- _X__ DFTPP tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

Notes: These requirements do not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortion are unacceptable

Notes: No data should be qualified based of DFTPP failure.

The requirement to analyze the instrument performance check solution is optional when analysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol is to be performed by the SIM technique.

List	the	samples	affected:

Actions:

- 1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the Instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
- 2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
- 3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
- Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

All criteria were met _	х	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below	200	

INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:04/21/2016_(SIM)	04/26-27/2016_(Scan)
Instrument ID numbers:GCMS3M	
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration:_04/04/16;_04/04-05/16_Scan_	04/13-14/16_(Scan)
Instrument ID numbers:GCMSF	GCMSZ
Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration:04/11/16_(Scan) Instrument ID numbers:GCM5P Matrix/Level:Aqueous/low	

DATE		FILE	CRITERIA OUT	COMPOUND	SAMPLES
	ID#		RFs, %RSD, %D, r		AFFECTED
Initial and initial calibration verification meet the method and guidance validation document					
			perform	nance criteria.	

Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
	Detect	Non-detect	
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment	
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

Initial Calibration

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	£ 25.0	± 50.0
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	± 25.0	±25.0
l-lexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
4-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20,0	± 20.0	±25.0
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
lexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	± 25.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	±25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20,0	±20.0	±25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzoturan	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25,0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D ¹	Opening Maximum %D ¹
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	±20.0	± 50.0
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	±25.0	± 25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Chyrsene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	± 40.0	± 50.0

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	±50.0
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds				

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D¹	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane-d _x	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-ds	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-d ₈	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
4-Methylphenol-d ₈	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d ₄	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d ₅	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d4	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d;	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d ₆	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene-d _x	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d4	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d ₁₀	0,100	20.0	= 20.0	± 25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Anthracene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Pyrene-d ₁₀	0.300	20.0	± 25.0	±50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d ₁₀ (SIM)	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

All criteria were met
Criteria were not met
and/or see belowX

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of it	nitial calibration	:04/04/16;04/	/04-05/16 (Scan)	04/13-14/16_(Scan)_
Date of it	nitial calibration	verification (CCV): 0	4/05/16: 04/05-06/16	04/14/16
Date of c	ontinuing calib	ration verification (CC)	√): 05/05/16	05/05/16
Date of c	losing CCV:		<u>.</u>	
Instrume	nt ID numbers:	GCM	ASF	GCMSZ
Matrix/Le	evel:	Aqueous/low		_Aqueous/low
Date of in	nitial calibration	:04/21/16_(SIM)_		04/26-27/16_(Scan)_ 04/27/16
Date of in	nitial calibration	verification (CCV):	04/21/16	04/27/16
Date of c	ontinuing calib	ration verification (CC\	/):_05/02/16;_05/05/16_ 05/06/16	05/04/16;_05/11/16
Date of c	losing CCV:		<u> </u>	-
Instrume	nt ID numbers:	GCMS:	3M	GCMS4M
Matrix/Le	vel:	Aqueous/	/low	Aqueous/low
Date of ir	nitial calibration	:04/11/16_	_(Scan)	
Date of ir	nitial calibration	verification (CCV):_04	4/11/16	
Date of c	ontinuing calibi	ration verification (CC\	Δ: 05/02/16: 05/03/16	
Date of C	ot ID pumbers:		15P	
Matriv/Lo	ncio numbers:	Agusaus/fau	1517	
IVIAU IX/LE	.VGI	Aqueous/low		
DATE		CRITERIA OUT		SAMPLES
	IU#	RFS, %RSD, %D, r		AFFECTED
	-			
		See 6	enclosed list	
	<u> </u>	000 (3100308 1131	
	 			

Note: Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the required criteria except the cases describe in the list enclosed. Analytes not detected in affected samples, results qualified (UJ).

No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

^{*} Analytes with % difference in the continue calibration verification outside the method performance criteria but within the validation guidelines criteria, +40 %. No action taken.

Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action		
Criteria for Opening CC v	Criteria for Closing CCV	Detect	Non-detect	
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	J	UJ	
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

INSTRUMENT: GCMS3E DATE:

05/11/16

FILE ID:

cc3623-25

Compound

%Dev

Hexachlorobutadiene

-23.2#

1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene

22.2#

Atrazine*

-24.5#

Affected sample: JC19423-1

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

INSTRUMENT: GCMS5P

DATE: FILE ID: 05/02/16 cc1382-50

Compound

%Dev

Caprolactam*

-38.6#

2-Nitroaniline

-32.5#

2.4-Dinitrotoluene

-22.0#

Di-n-octyl phthalate*

-28.0#

INSTRUMENT: GCMS5P

DATE: FILE ID: 05/02/16

cc1383-50

Compound

%Dev

Benzaldehyde*

20.4

Affected sample: QC sample

CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

INSTRUMENT: GCMS5P DATE: 05/03/16

FILE ID:

cc1382-50

Compound	%Dev
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	-22.5#
Caprolactam	-47.4#
2-Nitroaniline	-32.9#

Affected sample: JC19423-2

INSTRUMENT: GCMSF DATE: 05/05/16 FILE ID: cc6563-50

Compound	%Dev	
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	-23.2#	
2-Nitroaniline	-25.9#	
4-Nitrophenol	-29.3#	

INSTRUMENT: GCMSF DATE: 05/05/16 FILE ID: cc6564-50

Affected sample: QC sample

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have and associated field blank.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_ana	-		anks.	
Field/Equipmen	t/Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_field/trip/ed	quipment_blank	s_analyzed_wit	th_this_data_package	

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
40	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
		< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
Method, TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field	≥ CRQL	≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
	TIC > 5.0 ug/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
					-

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES - DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Citati	Action		
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect	
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R	
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J-	UJ	
Lower Acceptance limit ≤ %R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification	
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification	

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for DMCs (surrogate) recovery.

Matrix:___Groundwater_____

SAMPLE ID SURROGATE COMPOUND ACTION

_DMCs_meet_the_required_criteria._Non-deuterated_surrogates_added_to_the_samples_____
_within_laboratory_recovery_limits.______

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-da (DMC-1)	Phenol-d ₅ (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d ₈ (DMC-3)
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether
	Phenol	2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)
		Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane
2-Chlorophenol-d ₄ (DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-da (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d4 (DMC-6)
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol	4-Chloroaniline
	3-Methylphenol	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
	4-Methylphenol	Dichlorobenzidine
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	
Nitrobenzene-d5(DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d ₄ (DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3(DMC-9)
Acetophenone	Isophorone	2,4-Dichlorophenol
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2-Nitrophenol	Hexachlorobutadiene
Hexachloroethane		Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
Nitrobenzene		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,5-Trichlorophenol
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene
		*Pentachlorophenol
		2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
Dimethylphthalate-d _* (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-da (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC-12)
Caprolactam	*Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline
1,1'-Biphenyl	*2-Methylnaphthalene	3-Nitroaniline
Dimethylphthalate	2-Chloronaphthalene	2,4-Dinitrophenol
Diethylphthalate	*Acenaphthylene	4-Nitrophenol
Di-n-butylphthalate	*Acenaphthene	4-Nitroaniline
Butylbenzylphthalate	1	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate		
Di-n-octylphthalate		

Fluorene-d ₁₀ (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d ₂ (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d ₁₀ (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran *Fluorene 4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene Atrazine *Phenanthrene *Anthracene
Pyrene-d ₁₀ (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d ₁₂ (DMC-17)	
*Fluoranthene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	
*Pyrene	*Benzo(b)fluoranthene	
*Benzo(a)anthracene	*Benzo(k)fluoranthene	
*Chrysene	*Benzo(a)pyrene	
	*Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	
	*Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
	*Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

^{*}Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

NOTES:

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the

Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the

MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC Sample ID:JC	C19414-1 C19423-1_(SIM) C19382-2 C19423-2_(SIM)		-	Matrix/ Matrix/	Level:Soil Level:Soil Level:Groundwater Level:Groundwater
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
_JC19423-1MS/ _MS/MSD		10/10_9	%	50150	Qualify_results_in affected_sample

Note: Results for 1,4-Dioxane rejected (R) in sample JC19423-1.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J).

If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met _	X_	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below	_	

INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT IS AREA ACCEPTABLE ACTION RANGE

Internal standard area counts meet the required criteria.

Action:

- If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 200.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
 - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
 - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
 - Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
 - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- 3. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 200% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- 5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

Note: Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

State in the Data Review Narrative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action		
Cineria	Detect J+ No qualification J- R	Non-detect	
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	R	
20% ≤ Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	UJ	
50% ≤ Area response ≤ 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification	
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J-	No qualification	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R	
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification	

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
TARGET CO	MPOUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
Is the Relati standard RR initial calibrat	ive Retention Times (RRTs) of reported comp RT [opening Continuing Calibration Verification tion].	oounds within ±0.06 RRT units of the (CCV) or mid-point standard from the Yes? or No?
List compour	nds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
		·
spectrum froi calibration)] r a. b.	m the associated calibration standard (opening must match according to the following criteria: All ions present in the standard mass spect 10% must be present in the sample spectru. The relative intensities of these ions mustandard and sample spectra (e.g., for an standard spectrum, the corresponding san 30-70%). lons present at greater than 10% in the san the standard spectrum, must be evaluated spectral interpretation.	trum at a relative intensity greater than m. ust agree within ±20% between the ion with an abundance of 50% in the hople ion abundance must be between hople mass spectrum, but not present in
List compoun	ids not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
ldentified_co	ompounds_meet_the_required_criteria	
·		

Action:

- The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires
 professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information
 from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all
 such data as unusable (R).
- 2. Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- 3. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

ı	ist	TI	Cs

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound

Action:

- 1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- 2. General actions related to the review of TIC results are as follows:
 - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
 - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- In deciding whether a library search result for a TIC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were melX	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

Action:

- 1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
- 2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
- 4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	A	Action				
Спета	Detects	Non-detects				
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment				
10.0% ≤ %Solids ≤ 30.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment				
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification					

SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Sample ID: ______ JC19423-1MS___ Analyte: ____1,4-Dioxane ______ RF:_0.207_ [] = (8121)(4.0)/(305654)(0.207) = 0.51 ppm Ok

QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		150
	F2	+
1000		
		4 - 40 - 41
California (California California		

				Criter	teria were metN/A ia were not met r see below
FIELD DUPLICATE	PRECIS	NON			
Sample IDs	:		Ma	trix:	
Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. Suggested criteria: if large RPD (> 50 %) is observed, confirm identification of the samples and note differences. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.					
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed as part of this data package. MS/MSD % and blank spike/blank spike duplicate recoveries RPD used to assess precision; RPD within the required criteria < 50 % for detected target analytes.					

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
OTHER ISSUES		
A. System Perf	ormance	
List samples qualified	d based on the degradation of system	performance during simple analysis:
Sample ID	Comments	Actions
	- 	
Action:	Ж	
Use professional jud degraded during san		etermined that system performance has aboratory Program COR any action as a cantly affected the data.
Use professional jud degraded during san result of degradation	nple analyses. Inform the Contract L	aboratory Program COR any action as a
Use professional juddegraded during san result of degradation B. Overall Asses	nple analyses. Inform the Contract Loof system performance which signific	aboratory Program COR any action as a

Action:

_used_for_decission_purposes.___

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).
- 3. Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
 - The analysis with the lower CRQL
 - The analysis with the better QC results
 - The analysis with the higher results

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC19423

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Analysis:

SW846-8081B

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) samples were analyzed for selected pesticides following method SW846-8081B. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence *Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. HW-36A, Revision O, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pesticide Data Validation.* The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary

guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

1.

Minor findings:

Samples not properly preserved. No action taken, professional judgment.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

May 19, 2016

Date:

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC19423-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 28-Apr-16

Matrix: Soil

METHOL	1: OUGTD					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
beta-BHC	0.81	ug/kg	1	•	U	Yes
delta-BHC	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-ĐDD	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	υ	Yes
Endosulfan-l	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	1.6	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.81	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	20	ug/kg	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC19423-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 28-Apr-16
Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	υ	Yes
beta-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
deita-BHC	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	υ	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Dieldrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.0067	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.013	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Toxaphene	0.17	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
		_				

Sample ID: JC19423-2MS

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 28-Apr-16 Matrix: Groundwater

IVILITIO	D. GODID					
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.20	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.21	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
beta-BHC	0.20	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
delta-BHC	0.20	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.21	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.19	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.19	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Dieldrin	0.21	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.20	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.20	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.22	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Endrin	0.22	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.19	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.20	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.22	ug/L	1	•	-	Yes
Endosulfan-I	0.19	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.19	ug/L	1	-	2	Yes
Heptachlor	0.21	ug/L	1			Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.20	ug/L	1	-	2	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.20	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Toxaphene	ND	-	Ψ.	-	-	•

Sample ID: JC19423-2MSD

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 28-Apr-16 Matrix: Groundwater

40

WE1HOD: 8081B						
Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Aldrin	0.21	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
alpha-BHC	0.23	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
beta-BHC	0.22	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
delta-BHC	0.22	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	0.23	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
alpha-Chlordane	0.20	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
gamma-Chlordane	0.21	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Dieldrin	0.22	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
4,4'-DDD	0.24	ug/L	1	-	- 0	Yes
4,4'-DDE	0.23	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
4,4'-DDT	0.25	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Endrin	0.24	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Endosulfan sulfate	0.21	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Endrin aldehyde	0.23	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Endrin ketone	0.24	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Endosulfan-l	0.20	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Endosulfan-II	0.22	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Heptachlor	0.22	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Heptachlor epoxide	0.22	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Methoxychlor	0.22	ug/L	1	-	•	Yes
Toxaphene	ND	-	-	-		_

	Sampling Date:April_28,_2016 Shipping Date:April_29,_2016 EPA Region No.:2
REVIEW OF PESTICIDE O	RGANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volating required validation actions. This document will judgment to make more informed decision and users. The sample results were assessed accordocuments in the following order of precedence HW-36A, Revision 0, June, 2015. SOM02.2. Pestidata validation actions listed on the data reguidance document, unless otherwise noted.	assist the reviewer in using professional in better serving the needs of the data rding to USEPA data validation guidance Hazardous Waste Support Section SOP No. icide Data Validation. The QC criteria and
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest	data package received has been mmarized. The data review for VOCs included:
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC19423 No. of Samples:	
X Data CompletenessX Holding TimesN/A GC/MS TuningX Internal Standard PerformanceX BlanksX Surrogate RecoveriesX Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Overall Comments:TCL_pesticides_list_by_SW846	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
Definition of Qualifiers: J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer: Rafuel Defaut Date:May_19,_2016	•

Project/Case Number:___JC19423___

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
1		
	0	
	<u> </u>	

All criteria were met _X	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE	DATE	ACTION					
	SAMPLED	EXTRACTED/ANALYZED						
Samples not properly	Samples not properly preserved. No action taken, professional judgment.							

Preservatives:	_All_samples_	_extracted_and_	_analyzed_within	_the_required_	criteria

Criteria

Aqueous samples - seven (7) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Non-aqueous samples – fourteen (14) days from sample collection for extraction; 40 days from sample collection for analysis.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 9.8 °C - OK

Actions

Qualify aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding times, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding times, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.

- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

Qualify non-aqueous sample results using preservation and technical holding time information as follows:

- a. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved (T = 4° C \pm 2° C), and the samples were extracted or analyzed within the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- b. If there is no evidence that the samples were properly preserved ($T = 4^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$), and the samples were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).
- c. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted and analyzed within the technical holding time, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. If the samples were properly preserved, and were extracted or analyzed outside the technical holding time, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ). Note in the Data Review Narrative that holding times were exceeded and the effect of exceeding the holding time on the resulting data.
- e. Use professional judgment to qualify samples whose temperature upon receipt at the laboratory is either below 2 degrees centigrade or above 6 degrees centigrade.
- f. If technical holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

A	III criteria were met	X
Criteria w	vere not met see below	10

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH WITH ELECTRON CAPTURE DETECTOR (GC/ECD) INSTRUMENT PERFORMANCE CHECK (SECTIONS 1 TO 5)

1. Resolution Check Mixture

Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column? Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 60.0%? Yes? or No?

Note: If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

2. Performance Evaluation Mixture (PEM) Resolution Criteria

Criteria

Is PEM analysis performed at the required frequency (at the end of each pesticide initial calibration sequence and every 12 hours)? Yes? or No?

Action

a. If PEM is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is PEM % Resolution < 90%?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

All criteria were met _	_x_
Criteria were not met see bek	W.

3. PEM 4,4'-DDT Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

4. PEM Endrin Breakdown

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

All criteria were metX_	
Criteria were not met see below	

5. Mid-point Individual Standard Mixture Resolution -

Criteria

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture C greater than or equal to 80.0% for all analytes for the primary column and greater than or equal to 50.0% for the confirmation column?

Yes? or No?

Is the resolution between two adjacent peaks in the Resolution Check Mixture (A and B) greater than or equal to 90.0%?

Yes? or No?

Note: If resolution criteria are not met, the quantitative results may not be accurate due to inadequate resolution. Qualitative identifications may also be questionable if coelution exists.

Action

- a. Qualify detects for target compounds that were not adequately resolved as tentatively identified (NJ).
- b. Qualify non-detected compounds as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is mid-point individual standard mixture analysis performed at the required frequency (every 12 hours)?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. If the mid-point individual standard mixture analysis is not performed at the required frequency, qualify all associated sample and blank results as unusable (R).

					All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see be l ow
CALIBRA	TION VE	ERIFICA	ATION		
Compliane instrumen	ce requi t is capa	rements able of p	s for satisfactory instrun roducing and maintaini	nent calibration ar ng acceptable qua	e established to ensure that the ntitative data.
Dates of o	nitial cali continuin	ibration o calibra	04/27/16 verification:04/27/16 ation:05/02/16	ŝ	05/02/16 05/02/16 05/06/16;_05/07/16
Dates of fi	inal calib	oration:_	GC1G		
Instrumen	t ID nun	nbers:	GC1G		GC4G
Matrix/Le/	/ei:		Aqueous/low		Aqueous/low
DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Continui	ng calib	ration %	ration verification within differences meet the pon verification performed	erformance criteria	ument performance criteria. a in at least one of the column. professional judgment.
Criteria					
Are a five HW-36A, I	point ca Revision	alibration 0, June	n curve delivered with o e, 2015?	concentration level	s as shown in Table 3 of SOP <u>Yes</u> ? or No?
Actions					
If the stand effect on th	dard con ne data	centratio	ons listed in Table 3 are	not used, use profe	essional judgment to evaluate the
Criteria					
Are RT Wi	ndows o	alculate	ed correctly?		Yes? or No?
Action					
Recalculat	e the wi	ndows a	and use the corrected va	alues for all evalua	ntions.
Criteria					
Are the Petarget comp	ercent Re pounds l	elative S ess than	Standard Deviation (%Roor or equal to 20.0%, exce	SD) of the CFs fo	r each of the single component nd delta-BHC?

Yes? or No?

Are the %RSD of the CFs for alpha-BHC and delta-BHC less than or equal to 25.0%. Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for each of the Toxaphene peaks must be < 30% when 5-point ICAL is performed?

Yes? or No?

Is the %RSD of the CFs for the two surrogates (tetrachloro-m-xylene and decachlorobiphenyl) less than or equal to 30.0%.

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. If the %RSD criteria are not met, qualify detects as estimated (J) and use professional judgment to qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the %RSD criteria are within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary

Continuing Calibration Checks

Criteria

Is the continuing calibration standard analyzed at the acceptable time intervals? Yes? or No?

Action

- a. If more than 14 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of either a PEM or mid-point concentration of the Individual Standard Mixtures (A and B) or (C), qualify all data as unusable (R).
- b. If more than 12 hours has elapsed from the injection of the instrument blank that begins an analytical sequence (opening CCV) and the injection of the last sample or blank that is part of the same analytical sequence, qualify all data as unusable (R).
- c. If more than 72 hours has elapsed from the injection of the sample with a Toxaphene detection and the Toxaphene Calibration Verification Standard (CS3), qualify all data as unusable (R).

Criteria

Is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0% for the PEM sample?

Yes? or No?

Action

a. Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

Criteria

For the Calibration Verification Standard (CS3); is the Percent Difference (%D) within ±25.0%? Yes? or No?

Action

Qualify associated detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as estimated (UJ).

All criteria were met	X	į
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDT; detects for 4,4'-DDD; and detects for 4,4'-DDE as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

Criteria

Is the PEM 4,4'-DDT % Breakdown >20.0% and 4,4'-DDT is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for 4,4'- DDT as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDD as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for 4,4'-DDE as tentatively identified (NJ)

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is detected?

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify detects for Endrin; detects for Endrin aldehyde; and detects for Endrin ketone as estimated (J)
- b. Non-detected associated compounds are not qualified

Criteria

Is the PEM Endrin % Breakdown >20.0% and Endrin is not detected

Yes? or No?

Action

- a. Qualify non-detects for Endrin as unusable (R)
- b. Qualify detects for Endrin aldehyde as tentatively identified (NJ)
- c. Qualify detects for Endrin ketone as tentatively identified (NJ)

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contami	nation in the bla	anks below. Hig	th and low levels blanks	must be treated separately.
CRQL concentr	ationN	/A		
Laboratory blan	ks			
DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
Field/Equipment	t/Trip blank			
DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL/ Matrix	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_field/trip/ed	juipment_blank	s_analyzed_wit	th_this_data_package	

All criteria were met _	Χ
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 μ g/L. The concentration of each target compound found in the method or field blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Data concerning the field blanks are not evaluated as part of the CCS process. If field blanks are present, the data reviewer should evaluate this data in a similar fashion as the method blanks.

Specific actions are as follows:

Blank Actions for Pesticide Analyses

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action for Samples
	Detects	Not detected	No qualification required
y	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
201		≥CRQL	No qualification required
Method, Sulfur		< CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
Cleanup, Instrument, Field, TCLP/SPLP	> CRQL	≥ CRQL and ≤ blank concentration	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U
	5	≥ CRQL and > blank concentration	No qualification required
	= CRQL	≤CRQL	Report CRQL value with a U
		> CRQL	No qualification required
	Gross contamination	Detects	Report blank value for sample concentration with a U

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
	-		<u>. </u>	<u> </u>	
		 			
		_			
			<u> </u>		
				_	
L					

All criteria were met	_X_
Criteria were not me	1
and/or see below	

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix:_Aqueou	s				
Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b
JC19423-2 OP93549-BS1 OP93549-MB1 OP93549-MS OP93549-MSD	1G122666.D 1G122663.D 1G122662.D 1G122664.D	115 104 96 108 91	102 95 85 98 81	98 92 83 90 85	124* c 118 106 118 107
Surrogate Compounds		Recove Limits	•		
S1 = Tetrachlore S2 = Decachlore	•	26-1329 10-1189			
	om GC signal #1 recoveries and				m GC signal #2 ample. No action taken
Matrix:_Soil					
Lab	Lab				
Sample ID	File ID	S1 a	S1 b	S2 a	S2 b
•	ID 4G68004.D 4G67964.D 4G67963.D 4G67998.D	S1 a 109 109 104 105 91	S1 b 93 88 87 92 79	107 131 127 121	S2 b 96 97 94 103 89
ID JC19423-1 OP93683-BS1 OP93683-MB1 OP93683-MS	ID 4G68004.D 4G67964.D 4G67963.D 4G67998.D	109 109 104 105	93 88 87 92 79	107 131 127 121	96 97 94 103
ID JC19423-1 OP93683-BS1 OP93683-MB1 OP93683-MS OP93683-MSD Surrogate	ID 4G68004.D 4G67964.D 4G67963.D 4G67999.D 4G67999.D	109 109 104 105 91 Recove	93 88 87 92 79	107 131 127 121	96 97 94 103
ID JC19423-1 OP93683-BS1 OP93683-MB1 OP93683-MSD Surrogate Compounds S1 = Tetrachioro S2 = Decachloro (a) Recovery fro	ID 4G68004.D 4G67964.D 4G67963.D 4G67998.D 4G67999.D 0-m-xylene obiphenyl em GC signal #1	109 109 104 105 91 Recover Limits 24-1369 10-1539	93 88 87 92 79 Fy	107 131 127 121 105	96 97 94 103

Note: Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits.

Actions:

- a. For any surrogate recovery greater than 150%, qualify detected target compounds as biased high (J+).
- b. Do not qualify non-detected target compounds for surrogate recovery > 150 %.
- c. If both surrogate recoveries are greater than or equal to 30% and less than or equal to 150%, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- d. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
- e. For any surrogate recovery greater than or equal to 10% and less than 30%, qualify non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- f. If low surrogate recoveries are from sample dilution, professional judgment should be used to determine if the resulting data should be qualified. If sample dilution is not a factor:
 - i. Qualify detected target compounds as biased low (J-).
 - ii. Qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- g. If surrogate RTs in PEMs, Individual Standard Mixtures, samples, and blanks are outside of the RT Windows, the reviewer must use professional judgment to qualify data.
- h. If surrogate RTs are within RT windows, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- i. If the two surrogates were not added to all samples, MS/MSDs, standards, LCSs, and blanks, use professional judgment in qualifying data as missing surrogate analyte may not directly apply to target analytes.

Summary Surrogate Actions for Pesticide Analyses

	Action*		
Criteria	Detected Target Compounds	Non-detected Target Compounds	
%R > 150%	J+	No qualification	
30% < %R < 150%	No qualification		
10% < %R < 30%	J- UJ		
%R < 10% (sample dilution not a factor)	J-	R	
%R < 10% (sample dilution is a factor)	Use professional judgment		
RT out of RT window	Use professional judgment		
RT within RT window	No qualification		

* Use professional judgment in qualifying data, as surrogate recovery problems may not directly apply to target analytes.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region.

Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if a field blank was used for the MS and MSD, unless designated as such by the Region.

NOTE: For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

	JC19423-2 JC19505-1				/Level:Aqueous /Level:Soil
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
MS/MSD%_rd _JC19505-1	ecoveries_and_RPD	_within_lab	oratory_c	control_limits_ex	cept_for_the_following:
_MS	4,4'-DDE	198	124	10-155/49	No_action
_MS	Endosulfan-l	178			
55					

Note: No action taken, apply only to unspiked sample.

Action

No qualification of the data is necessary on MS and MSD data alone. However, using professional judgment, the validator may use the MS and MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were met _X_
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

LCS Spike Compound	Recovery Limits (%)
gamma-BHC	50 – 120
Heptachlor epoxide	50 – 150
Dieldrin	30 – 130
4,4'-DDE	50 – 150
Endrin	50 – 120
Endosulfan sulfate	50 – 120
trans-Chlordane	30 – 130
Tetrachloro-m-xylene (surrogate)	30 – 150
Decachlorobiphenyl (surrogate)	30 – 150

LCS	S concentrations	:0.167_ug/L;_16.7_ug/k	<g< th=""><th></th></g<>	
List the %R	of compounds v	which do not meet the criteria	l	
	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% _. R	QC LIMIT
				

Action

The following guidance is suggested for qualifying sample data for which the associated LCS does not meet the required criteria.

- a. If the LCS recovery exceeds the upper acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the LCS recovery is less than the lower acceptance limit, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R).
- c. Use professional judgment to qualify data for compounds other than those compounds that are included in the LCS.
- d. Use professional judgment to qualify non-LCS compounds. Take into account the compound class, compound recovery efficiency, analytical problems associated with each compound, and comparability in the performance of the LCS compound to the non-LCS compound.
- e. If the LCS recovery is within allowable limits, no qualification of the data is necessary.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

Note: Blank spike/blank spike duplicate analyzed for solid and aqueous matrices. % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

All criteria were met _____ Criteria were not met and/or see below __N/A___

FLORISIL CARTRIDGE PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: Florisil cartridge cleanup is mandatory for all extracts.

Criteria

Is the Florisil cartridge performance check conducted at least once on each lot of cartridges used for sample cleanup or every 6 months, whichever is most frequent?

Yes? or No?

N/A

Criteria

Are the results for the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check solution included with the data package?

Yes? or No?

N/A

Note: If % criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of polar interferences and use professional judgment in qualifying the data as follows:

Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is greater than 120% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.
- b. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J) and qualify non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- e. If the Percent Recovery of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol in the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check is greater than or equal to 5%, use professional judgment to qualify detected and non-detected target compounds, considering interference on the sample chromatogram.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the Florisil Cartridge Performance Check analysis not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for florisil cartridge performance check included in data package. Florisil cartridge used for sample extraction/clean-up. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were metN/A
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

GEL PERMEATION CHROMATOGRAPHY (GPC) PERFORMANCE CHECK

NOTE: GPC cleanup is mandatory for all soil samples.

If GPC criteria are not met, examine the raw data for the presence of high molecular weight contaminants; examine subsequent sample data for unusual peaks; and use professional judgment in qualifying the data. Notify the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the laboratory chooses to analyze samples under unacceptable GPC criteria.

Action:

- a. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, the non-detected target compounds may be suspect, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J).
- b. If the Percent Recovery is less than 10% for the pesticide compounds and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify all non-detected target compounds as unusable (R).
- c. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 10% and is less than 80% for any of the pesticide target compounds in the GPC calibration, qualify detected target compounds as estimated (J) and non-detected target compounds as approximated (UJ).
- d. If the Percent Recovery is greater than or equal to 80% and less than or equal to 120% for all the pesticide target compounds, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- e. If high recoveries (i.e., greater than 120%) were obtained for the pesticides and surrogates during the GPC calibration check, qualify detected compounds as estimated (J). Do not qualify non-detected target compounds.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the sample data resulting from the GPC cleanup analyses not yielding acceptable results.

Note: No information for performance of GPC cleanup included in data package. No qualification of the data performed, professional judgment.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

TARGET COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

Criteria:

- 1. Is Retention Times (RTs) of both of the surrogates and reported target compounds in each sample within the calculated RT Windows on both columns? Yes? or No?
- 2. Is the Tetrachloro-m-xylene (TCX) RT ±0.05 minutes of the Mean RT (RT) determined from the initial calibration and Decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) within ±0.10 minutes of the RT determined from the initial calibration?

 Yes? or No?
- 3. Is the Percent Difference (%D) for the detected mean concentrations of a pesticide target compound between the two Gas Chromatograph (GC) columns within the inclusive range of \pm 25.0 %?

 Yes? or No?
- 4. When no analytes are identified in a sample; are the chromatograms from the analyses of the sample extract and the low-point standard of the initial calibration associated with those analyses on the same scaling factor?

 Yes? or No?
- 5. Does the chromatograms display the Single Component Pesticides (SCPs) detected in the sample and the largest peak of any multi-component analyte detected in the sample at less than full scale.
 Yes? or No?
- 6. If an extract is diluted; does the chromatogram display SCPs peaks between 10-100% of full scale, and multi-component analytes between 25-100% of full scale?

 Yes? or No?

 N/A
- 7. For any sample; does the baseline of the chromatogram return to below 50% of full scale before the elution time of alpha-BHC, and also return to below 25% of full scale after the elution time of alpha-BHC and before the elution time of DCB?

 Yes? or No?
- 8. If a chromatogram is replotted electronically to meet these requirements; is the scaling factor used displayed on the chromatogram, and both the initial chromatogram and the replotted chromatogram submitted in the data package.

 Yes? or No?

Action:

- a. If the qualitative criteria for both columns were not met, all target compounds that are reported as detected should be considered non-detected.
- b. Use professional judgment to assign an appropriate quantitation limit using the following guidance:
 - If the detected target compound peak was sufficiently outside the pesticide RT Window, the reported values may be a false positive and should be replaced with the sample Contract Required Quantitation Limits (CRQL) value.

- ii. If the detected target compound peak poses an interference with potential detection of another target peak, the reported value should be considered and qualified as unusable (R).
- c. If the data reviewer identifies a peak in both GC column analyses that falls within the appropriate RT Windows, but was reported as a non-detect, the compound may be a false negative. Use professional judgment to decide if the compound should be included.

Note: State in the Data Review Narrative all conclusions made regarding target compound identification.

- d. If the Toxaphene peak RT windows determined from the calibration overlap with SCPs or chromatographic interferences, use professional judgment to qualify the data.
- e. If target compounds were detected on both GC columns, and the Percent Difference between the two results is greater than 25.0%, consider the potential for coelution and use professional judgment to decide whether a much larger concentration obtained on one column versus the other indicates the presence of an interfering compound. If an interfering compound is indicated, use professional judgment to determine how best to report, and if necessary, qualify the data according to these guidelines.
- f. If Toxaphene exhibits a marginal pattern-matching quality, use professional judgment to establish whether the differences are due to environmental "weathering" (i.e., degradation of the earlier eluting peaks relative to the later eluting peaks). If the presence of Toxaphene is strongly suggested, report results as presumptively present (N).

GAS CHROMATOGRAPH/MASS SPECTROMETER (GC/MS) CONFIRMATION

NOTE: This confirmation is not usually provided by the laboratory. In cases where it is provided, use professional judgment to determine if data qualified with "C" can be salvaged if it was previously qualified as unusable (R).

Action:

- a. If the quantitative criteria for both columns were met (≥ 5.0 ng/ μ L for SCPs and ≥ 125 ng/ μ L for Toxaphene), determine whether GC/MS confirmation was performed. If it was performed, qualify the data using the following guidance:
 - i. If GC/MS confirmation was not required because the quantitative criteria for both columns was not met, but it was still performed, use professional judgment when evaluating the data to decide whether the detect should be qualified with "C".
 - ii. If GC/MS confirmation was performed, but unsuccessful for a target compound detected by GC/ECD analysis, qualify those detects as "X".

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	_

COMPOUND QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Blank	Spike		Dieldrin	RF = 1.311
[]	=	(70806144)(50)/(92815956)(1.311)
	=	29.09 ppb	Ok	•

Action:

- a. If sample quantitation is different from the reported value, qualify result as unusable (R).
- b. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lowest CRQLs are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of the higher CRQLs from the diluted sample.
- c. Replace concentrations that exceed the calibration range in the original analysis by crossing out the "E" and its corresponding value on the original reporting form and substituting the data from the diluted sample.
- d. Results between the MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated (J).
- e. Results less than the MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified (U). MDLs themselves are not reported.
- f. For non-aqueous samples, if the percent moisture is less than 70.0%, no qualification of the data is necessary. If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 70.0% and less than 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as approximated (UJ). If the percent moisture is greater than or equal to 90.0%, qualify detects as estimated (J) and non-detects as unusable (R) (see Table).

Percent Moisture Actions for Pesticide Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Action			
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds		
% Moisture < 70.0	No qualification			
70.0 < % Moisture < 90.0	J UJ			
% Moisture > 90.0	J	R		

List samples which have ≤ 50 % solids							
_			<u>.</u>			 	_
_						 	
_							
_							

Note: If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.

Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		-
		_

All criteria were met _	_N/A
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

NOTE: In the absence of QAPP guidance for validating data from field duplicates, the following action will be taken.

Field duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples. Identify which samples within the data package are field duplicates. Estimate the relative percent difference (RPD) between the values for each compound. If large RPDs (> 50%) is observed, confirm identification of samples and note difference in the executive summary.

Sample I	Ds:			Matrix:	
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/laborator RPD	y duplicate used to a	analyzed with	this data package. MS	/MSD or LC	S/LCSD % recoveries f < 50 %.

Actions:

- a. Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.
- b. If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:
 - i. If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).
 - ii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
 - iii. If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.
 - iv. If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA

Action:

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- 2. Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data.

Note: The Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) must be informed if any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

Overall assessment of the data: Results are valid; the data can be used for decision making purposes.

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No:

JC19423

Laboratory:

Accutest, Florida

Analysis:

SW846-8015C

Number of Samples:

A

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Four (4) samples were analyzed for the low molecular weight alcohols (LMWAs) list following method SW846-8015C. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods SW-846 (Final Update III, December 1996)," specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are utilized. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

Critical findings:

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

- 1. All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time. Samples were improperly preserved not preserved within 48 hours of sampling. Results qualified as estimated (UJ) in the affected sample.
- 2. MSD recoveries outside the laboratory control limits but within generally acceptable control limits for the following analytes: ethanol; isopropyl alcohol; n-propyl alcohol; and n-butyl alcohol. No action taken, professional judgment.
- 3. Blank spike recovery outside the laboratory control limits but within generally acceptable control limits for the following analytes: n-butyl alcohol. No action taken, professional judgment.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

May 19, 2016

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC19423-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/28/2016

Matrix: Soil

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	14	mg/kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	14	mg/kg	1.0	•	ເນ	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	14	mg/kg	1.0	-	ເບ	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	14	mg/kg	1.0	-	IJ	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	14	mg/kg	1.0	-	IJ	Yes
Methanol	14.0	mg/kg	1.0	-	UJ	Yes

Sample ID: JC19423-2A

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/28/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	- 9	U	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	_	U	Yes
Methanol	5.0	mg/l	1.0	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC19423-2AMS

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/28/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	108	mg/l	1.0	-	-	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	104	mg/l	1.0	-	-	Yes
Isopropyl Alcohol	109	mg/l	1.0	-	-	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	109	mg/i	1.0	-	-	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	110	mg/l	1.0	-	-	Yes
Methanol	107	mg/l	1.0	_	_	Vec

Sample ID: JC19423-2AMSD

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 4/28/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

No. 1 1 10

METHOD: 8015C

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ethanol	118	mg/l	1.0	-	-	Yes
Isobutyl Alcohol	108	mg/l	1.0	-	-	Yes
isopropyl Alcohol	108	mg/l	1.0	-	-	Yes
n-Propyl Alcohol	113	mg/l	1.0	-	-	Yes
n-Butyl Alcohol	114	mg/l	1.0	-	· -	Yes
Methanol	111	mg/l	1.0	_		Yes

Project Number:JC19423
Date:04/28/2016
Shipping Date:04/29/2016
EPA Region: 2
RGANIC PACKAGE created to delineate required validation actions. This gment to make more informed decision and in better were assessed according to USEPA data validation nce: "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste mber 1996)," specifically for Methods 8000/8015C are on the data review worksheets are from the primary data package received has been reviewed ne modified data review for VOCs included:
Sample matrix:Soil/Groundwater
X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
hols_by_SW-846_8015C

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
4)		
	k	
the second to the second	_	_
		 }
		<u> </u>
	16 F1 -100 - g1 10 -	

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	2.0

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	pН	ACTION
preserved excep	ot for the following: Sa	mple JC19423-1 was re	eceived i	me. All samples properly n a bulk container and not t Laboratories, Orlando FL.
	l as (UJ) in affected sar		7.000,000	· Laboratorioo, Orianioo i L.
			-	
			+	

<u>Criteria</u>

Aqueous samples – 14 days from sample collection for preserved samples (pH \leq 2, 4°C), no air bubbles. Aqueous samples – 7 days from sample collection for unpreserved samples, 4°C, no air bubbles. Soil samples- 7 days from sample collection. Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 + 2 °C): 9.8°C

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 9.8°C

<u>Actions</u>

If the VOCs vial(s) have air bubbles, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If the % solids of soil samples is 10-50%, estimates positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solid of soil samples is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are exceeded but < 14 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are exceeded but < 28 days beyond criteria, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If holding times are grossly exceeded (> 28 days beyond criteria), reject all results (R).

If samples were not iced or if the ice were melted (> 10°C), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

All criteria were metN/A Criteria were not met see below
umentation is within the standard
thin the specified criteria.
S.
ata should be accepted, qualified

GC/MS TUNING
The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standar tuning QC limits
N/A_ The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.
N/A_ BFB tuning was performed for every 12 hours of sample analysis.
If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualifie or rejected.
List the samples affected:
If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	_

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	05/02/16
Dates of continuing calibratio	n:_05/02/16 (initial);_05/05/16;_05/06/16_
	fication:_05/02/16;_05/05/16;_05/06/16_
Instrument ID number:	VOA5
Matrix/Level:	_Aqueous/low

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED

Note: Initial, continuing, and final calibration verifications meets method specific criteria.

Criteria

All RFs must be > 0.05 regardless of method requirements for SPCC.

All %RSD must be \leq 15 % regardless of method requirements for CCC.

All %Ds must be ≤ 20% regardless of method requirements for CCC.

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify criterion for the curve correlation coefficient (r). A limit for r of \geq 0.995 has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

Actions

If any compound has an initial RF or a continuing RF of < 0.05, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R), regardless of method requirements.

If any compound has a %RSD > 15%, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If any compound has a %RSD > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has a % D > 20%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If any compound has a % D > 90%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

If any compound has r < 0.995, estimate positive results and nondetects.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

All criteria were met _	х_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LABID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS	
		_method_specif	īc_criteria		
Field/Equipmen					
DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL <i>i</i> Matrix	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS	
No_field/trip_ pment_blank	blanks_include	d_in_this_data_	packageNo_target_a	nalytes_detected_in_the	_equ
0 2000 = 10 0 2000 = 10					

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

VB. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene) ALs = 5x for any other compounds

Specific actions are as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and \le AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but \leq AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is \geq SQL and > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
					- A3101016
				ACCEPTED.	
			The state of the s		
		ALC: NO.			
		i de			
	and the same of th				

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment. List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID		SURROGAT	E COMPOUND		ACTION		
	Hexanol	DBFM	TOL-d8	BFB			
_All_surrogate_red	coveries_within_	laboratory_conf	trol_limits.				
		<u> </u>					
QC Limits* (Aqueo	ous)						
LL_to_UL_		to	to	to	31		
QC Limits* (Solid-L	Low)			8	2.00		
LL_to_UL_		.1to	to	to	_		
QC Limits* (Solid-I	•						
LL_to_UL_	to	to	to	to	- 5		
1,2-DCA = 1,2-Dic	hloromethane-d4	4	TOL-d8 =	Toluene-d8			
DBFM = Dibromofi			BFB = Bro	omofluorobenze	ne		
* QC limits a	are laboratory in-	house performa	ance criteria, LL =	lower limit. UL	= upper limit.		
* If QC limits	s are not availab	le, use limits of	80 - 120 % for ac	ueous and 70 -	- 130 % for	solid	
samples.		•		•			
Actions:							
QUALITY		%R < 10%	%R = 10%	6-LL %R>	· UL		
Positive re	esults	J	J	J		,	
Nondetec	te roculte	D	111	A	-		

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%. If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

All criteria were met _	_X_	
Criteria were not met		
and/or see below		_0

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

1. MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sample ID:JC19423-2AMS/-2AMSD Sample ID:JC19423-1MS/-1MSD					Level: Level:		s			
MS OR MSD	CO	MPOUN	D	% R	RPD	QC LIN	MITS	AC	TION	
MS/MSD%_recoveries_and_RPD_within_laboratory_control_limits_except_for_the_followings:_										
The QC reporte	d here a	applies to	the follo	owing sa	mples:		Method	1: SW84	6 8015C	MOD
	JC194	23-1	Spike	MS	MS	Spike	MSD	MSD		Limits
Compound	mg/kg	Q	mg/kg	mg/kg	%	mg/kg	mg/kg	%	RPD	Rec/RPD
Ethanol	ND		282	298	106	282	331	118*	10	80-117/13
Isopropyl Alcoho	I ND		282	303	108	282	340	121*	12	75-116/15
n-Propyl Alcohol			282	301	107	282	337	120*	11	78-116/13
n-Butyl Alcohol	ND		282	303	108	282	339	120*	11	74-115/13
Surrogate Reco	veries	MS	MSD	JC1897	72-2A	Limits				
Hexanol		115%	120%	107%		69-121	%			

Note: No action taken MSD recoveries within generally acceptable control limits.

* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.

If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

ΑII	criteri	a were	met_	_X
Cri	leria v	vere no	ot met	
an	d/or se	e belo	w	

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples: If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J). If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

Note: No action taken, MS/MSD applies only the unspiked sample. Unspiked sample from another data package, used for QC purposes only

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

VII. B MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE

MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

It should be noted that Region 2 SOP HW-24 does not specify a MS/MSD criteria for the unspiked compounds in the sample. A %RSD of < 50% has therefore been utilized as professional judgment.

If all target analytes were spiked in the MS/MSD, this review element is not applicable.

List the %RSD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

			Madix	veronic	
COMPOUND	SAMPLE CONC.	MS CONC.	MSD CONC.		ACTION
		-175000000000000000000000000000000000000			
	THE REAL PROPERTY.				
Control of the last of the las					

Actions:

^{*} If the % RSD > 50, qualify the positive result in the unspiked samples as estimated (J).

^{*} If the % RSD is not calculated (NC) due to nondetected value, use professional judgment to qualify the data.

All criteria were met _	Х_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	-0

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD? Yes or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

	LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT	
Reco	veries_within_labor	atory_control_limits_excdep	ot_for_the_following:		
		n-Butyl_alcohol			

Note: No action taken, professional judgment. Blank spike recovery within genrally acceptable control limits.

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

All analytes in the associated sample results are qualified for the following criteria.

If 25 % of the LCS recoveries were < LL (or 70 %), qualify all positive results (j) and reject nondetects (R).

If two or more LCS were below 10 %, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R).

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? <u>Yes</u> or No. If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

		All criteria were metN/A Criteria were not met and/or see below
IX.	FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION	
	Sample IDs:	Matrix:

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. Suggested criteria: RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
			l this data package. MS/ pratory and generally ac		recoveries RPD used to e control limits.
	-				
			L	1	

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

Actions:

All criteria were met _	N/A
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	2.0

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- * Area of +100% or -50% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
- * Retention time (RT) within 30 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
					No.
The same		18		38	

1. IS actions should be applied to the compound quantitated with the out-of-control ISs

QUALITY	IS AREA < -25%	IS AREA = -25 % TO 50%	IS AREA > + 100%
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetected results	R	UJ	ACCEPT

If a IS retention time varies more than 30 seconds, the chromatographic profile for that sample must be examined to determine if any false positive or negative exists. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for the sample fraction.

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

JC19423-1MS

Ethanol

RF = 4355

[] = (461632)/(4355)

= 106.0 OK

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
<u> </u>		
 -		
· · ·		
<u></u>		
	-3-	

Percent Solids	
List samples which have ≤ 50 % solids	

Actions:

If the % solids of a soil sample is 10-50%, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ)

If the % solids of a soil sample is < 10%, estimate positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R)